

Dynamic Activation of C1 Molecules Evoked by Zeolite Catalysis

Xinqiang Wu,^{||} Wei Chen,^{||} Shutao Xu, Shanfan Lin, Tantan Sun, Anmin Zheng,^{*} Yingxu Wei,^{*} and Zhongmin Liu^{*}Cite This: *ACS Cent. Sci.* 2021, 7, 681–687

Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Direct observation of the activation and transformation of reactant molecules is extremely attractive but very challenging in the study of most chemical processes. Here is reported the first case of dynamic activation of C1 molecules in zeolite-catalyzed chemistry. During the methanol conversion over the HZSM-5 zeolite, a sequence of progressive activation states of dimethyl ether (DME) evoked by the special catalysis from CH₃-Zeo, a hybrid supramolecular catalytic system formed by the organic methylic species growing on the inorganic silico-aluminate zeolite framework, has been directly observed by *in situ* ssNMR spectroscopy at programmed temperatures. *Operando* simulations visually display the variability of this hybrid supramolecular system of which the C–O bond property goes through a dynamic transition from covalent to ionic with the temperature increase, and thus the gradually enhanced electrophilicity of CH₃^{δ+} and nucleophilicity of Zeo^{δ−} lead to the dynamic activation of DME. This dynamic transition is generally reflected in the alkyl-Zeo system with other alkoxy groups, which linked the alkoxy species and carbocations in zeolite catalysis. Consequently, this work not only sheds light on the key issue of the first carbon–carbon (C–C) bond formation in the methanol to hydrocarbons (MTH) process but also brings a new awareness on the essence of acid catalysis in zeolite mediated chemical processes.



■ INTRODUCTION

The zeolite-catalyzed chemical processes have played a crucial role in the modern chemical industry, especially in the petrochemical industry and the emerging coal-based chemical industry.^{1–3} As the critical step in the coal-based chemical industrial process, the methanol to hydrocarbons (MTH) reaction catalyzed by zeolites or SAPO molecular sieves has gained great achievements both in industry and academia.^{4–7} For the fundamental research, the so-called hydrocarbon pool (HCP) reaction pathways are widely acknowledged and alkenyl- or/and phenyl-based HCP species have been identified as efficient intermediates, via which methanol can be transformed into a range of hydrocarbons.^{8,9} Nevertheless, the HCP mechanism cannot account for the origin of the initial HCP species or the formation of the first C–C bond in the induction reaction period.¹⁰ Recently, many excellent works reported that initial hydrocarbons or surface complex containing the first C–C bond could be generated via the direct coupling reaction of C1 species.^{11–20} Moreover, surface methoxy species (SMS) as the critical intermediate have attracted much attention in this research area. Especially in the very initial reaction period, SMS are formed by the dehydration of methanol over the traditionally Brønsted acidic site (BAS) and succeed to work as a methylation reagent for the carbon chain growth reaction.^{15,21–25} However, due to the complicity and instantaneity of the methanol conversion

reaction over zeolites, available data on the activation and conversion of C1 reactant molecules is quite limited. As a consequence, understanding the catalytic behaviors under the realistic MTH reaction condition is critical in uncovering the nature of special acid catalysis evoked by zeolites.

In this work, by the advanced *in situ* solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (ssNMR) technique, a sequence of progressive activation states of DME evoked by CH₃-Zeo catalysis has been directly observed during the methanol conversion over the HZSM-5 zeolite. Moreover, the critical role of CH₃-Zeo, a hybrid supramolecular catalytic system formed by the organic methylic species growing on the inorganic silico-aluminate frameworks, has been visally revealed by *operando* simulations via *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD). It is found that the C–O bond situated at the organic–inorganic boundary can be regulated with temperature variation. The temperature increase leads to the C–O bond ionization and thus induces the activation and transformation of the approaching C1 reactant molecules. In

Received: January 3, 2021

Published: March 24, 2021






Figure 1. (a) GC-MS chromatograms of the continuous-flow methanol conversion over HZSM-5 in a fix-bed reactor under the linear temperature increase from 373 to 573 K and (b) real-time tracking of the effluents by Online Mass Spectroscopy (methanol weight hourly space velocity (WHSV): 2.0 h $^{-1}$, temperature ramping rate: 2 K/min). (c) *In situ* ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra during the ^{13}C methanol continuous-flow conversion over HZSM-5 in an NMR rotor reactor under the condition of the linear temperature increase from 373 to 573 K (WHSV = 1 h $^{-1}$, temperature ramping rate = 10 K/min, ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra were recorded using one pulse sequence with a spinning rate of 3 kHz). (d) The apparent reaction rate of C1 reactants and the chemical shift value of DME as the function of the reaction temperature from 423 to 573 K.

addition, *in situ* observations of the methanol conversion on weakly acidic NaZSM-5 and nonacidic silicate-1 by ssNMR and FTIR also consolidate the crucial role of CH $_3$ -Zeo for the activation of C1 reactants and the initiation of the MTH reaction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The methanol conversion over HZSM-5 (Figure S1–S5) was proceeded in a fixed-bed quartz reactor under the condition of the linear temperature increase from 373 to 573 K at a rate of 2 K/min. The effluents were analyzed with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and continuously monitored by online Mass Spectroscopy (MS). As shown in Figure 1a, the methanol dehydration is present as the main reaction and is promoted with the temperature increase from 373 to 473 K, as indicated by the enlarged ratio of DME to methanol. At this temperature range, one can only observe methanol and DME, and no hydrocarbons or special intermediates are detected among the effluents. It means that the methanol dehydration to DME is readily realized at this temperature range, but their further transformation to those C–C bond containing products may need a higher reaction temperature condition.

As the temperature increases to 523 K, a trace amount of ethene appeared and is the only detected C–C bond containing product. In the real-time tracking of online MS shown in Figure 1b, the signals of ethene are almost absent below 473 K but grow very fast with the temperature increase, especially from 523 to 573 K. Obviously, the direct conversion of methanol, DME, SMS, or/and even some other surface C1 species to generate C–C bond containing products can be responsible for the initial ethene formation.

To survey the catalyst surface under the same reaction conditions, HZSM-5 is loaded into an NMR rotor reactor, and the real-time evolution has been *in situ* recorded by ^{13}C ssNMR spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 1c, during the ^{13}C -methanol continuous introduction, only methanol can be observed on the catalyst surface below 373 K. The shoulder peak at 60.5 ppm attributed to DME^{26,27} adsorbed on BAS in forms of end-on type is observed at 423 K, while the other confirmation (side-on type) at 63.5 ppm becomes detectable at 473 K. More importantly, the signal simultaneously appearing at 59.0 ppm attributed to SMS signifies that an organic–inorganic hybrid catalytic system, CH $_3$ -Zeo, is established on this zeolite catalyst at this moment. Subsequently, with the




Figure 2. (a) *In situ* ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra recorded during the continuous-flow methanol conversion over NaZSM-5 proceeded in an NMR rotor reactor under heating conditions with the linear temperature increase from 323 to 573 K. (b) On NaZSM-5 and HZSM-5, the chemical shift variation of DME as the function of the reaction temperature from 423 to 573 K. (c) *In situ* ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra during the continuous-flow methanol conversion over NaZSM-5, and (d) over silicate-1 (methanol weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) of 2.0 h^{-1} , temperature ramping rate 10 K/min , ^{13}C MAS NMR spectra were recorded using one pulse sequence with a spinning rate of 3 kHz).

linear temperature increase from 473 to 573 K, a most striking observation is that the chemical shift of DME is gradually migrated from 63.5 to 69.0 ppm (63.5 ppm at 473 K, 64.5 ppm at 523 K, 66.5 ppm at 548 K, and 69.0 ppm at 573 K). At 573 K, the signal at 69.0 ppm indicates that the highly activated DME presents the characteristics of methyleneoxy analogue species ($\text{CH}_3\text{-O-CH}_2^{\delta-}\text{-H}^{\delta+}$), and thus, the elongated C–H bond is ready for the C–C coupling with the positively charged methyl group ($\text{CH}_3^{\delta+}$) of $\text{CH}_3\text{-Zeo}$. To our knowledge, this is the first capture of dynamic activation of C1 molecules in zeolite-catalyzed chemistry. The apparent reaction rate of the methanol conversion during the very initial reaction period has been calculated according to the method proposed previously.²⁸ As shown in Figure 1d, the formation rate of initial olefin products is in line with the growth trend of chemical shift values of DME, implying that the progressive activation of approaching DME gives rise to the formation of initial hydrocarbon products with temperature dependence. In view of kinetics and catalysis, the improvement of initial olefin generation could be correlated to the dynamic progressive activation of C1 reactants by the $\text{CH}_3\text{-Zeo}$ catalytic system in the very initial period of the MTH process.

Further, the pivotal role of the $\text{CH}_3\text{-Zeo}$ catalytic system for the activation and transformation of C1 reactants has also been confirmed by studies of the methanol conversion on weakly acidic NaZSM-5 and nonacidic silicate-1 catalysts. In Figure 2a, *in situ* ^{13}C ssNMR spectra are recorded during the ^{13}C -methanol continuously introduced onto NaZSM-5 at the

programmed temperature increase condition. The signal at 60.5 ppm shows that methanol dehydration to DME can also be realized on NaZSM-5, but of more concern is that the generated DME only presents a slight migration from 60.5 to 62.5 ppm and the signal from SMS is also not detectable during the temperature increase from 373 to 573 K, which is very different from the reaction recorded over HZSM-5. In other words, without $\text{CH}_3\text{-Zeo}$ as the supramolecular catalytic center, the generated DME on NaZSM-5 cannot be well activated in the same way as that on HZSM-5, as indicated by the comparison shown in Figure 2b. Even though the methanol conversion is performed at 573 K for 0.5 h as shown in Figure 2c, there are still no apparent changes, and only trace amounts of hydrocarbons can be detected in the effluent (Figure S6). The ^{13}C methanol conversion on silicate-1 gives a better complement. As shown in Figure 2d, neither hydrocarbons nor DME can be detected on the nonacidic catalyst surface and in the effluent (Figure S7) and thus is inactive for the MTH reaction. Additionally, DRIFTS experiments (Figure S8) show that the characteristic peak of SMS at 2977 and 2868 cm^{-1} can be clearly observed only on HZSM-5 but is not detectable on either the weakly acidic NaZSM-5 or nonacidic silicate-1 samples. In line with the observation on HZSM-5, the studies on NaZSM-5 and silicate-1 also consolidate the indispensable role of the $\text{CH}_3\text{-Zeo}$ catalytic center in C1 reactant activation and transformation during the initial reaction period of the MTH process.





Figure 3. (a) The radial distribution function ($g(r)$) as a function of the C–O bond distance of SMS and (b) the percentage at the different D (D represents the C–O distance) values, based on 50 ps NVT AIMD simulations. (c) Electron localization function (ELF) of the C–O bond from SMS theoretically predicted at 1.40 Å, 1.60 Å, and 1.70 Å and the relevant energy density ($E(r)$) at the bond critical point.

Scheme 1. Covalent-Ionic Transition at the C–O Bond in the Alkyl-Zeo System^a



^a(a) CH_3 -Zeo, (b) CH_3CH_2 -Zeo, (c) $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CH}$ -Zeo, and (d) $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{C}$ -Zeo.

At the molecular level, *operando* simulations on the hybrid supramolecular catalytic system, CH_3 -Zeo, have been performed by using AIMD (details about the calculation methods in the Supporting Information). As seen in Figure 3a, the radial distribution $g(r)$ as a function of the C–O bond distance of SMS is plotted in the temperature range from 273

to 773 K. The significant variation of $g(r)$ shows that the amplitude of the C–O bond stretching vibration is enhanced with the temperature increase. The fast-growing percentage of $D_{(\text{C-O})} > 1.60$ Å with the temperature increase shown in Figure 3b also demonstrates that the C–O bond is more reactive at the realistic experimental temperature condition. Once the

mediated methanol/DME conversion mechanism pathway, and more importantly, the dynamic progressive changes of the CH₃-Zeo supramolecular system and its catalysis for DME activation remind us of the dynamically variable properties of the catalytic reaction center and catalytic behaviors under the real reaction conditions in zeolite-catalyzed processes.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscentsci.1c00005>.

Characterization of the materials, experimental methods, GC-MS, DRIFTS, and NMR data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Zhongmin Liu – National Engineering Laboratory for Methanol to Olefins, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China; orcid.org/0000-0002-7999-2940; Email: liuzm@dicp.ac.cn

Yingxu Wei – National Engineering Laboratory for Methanol to Olefins, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; Email: weiyx@dicp.ac.cn

Anmin Zheng – State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, National Center for Magnetic Resonance in Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China; orcid.org/0000-0001-7115-6510; Email: zhenganm@wipm.ac.cn

Authors

Xinqiang Wu – National Engineering Laboratory for Methanol to Olefins, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China

Wei Chen – State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, National Center for Magnetic Resonance in Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China; orcid.org/0000-0002-8955-9497

Shutao Xu – National Engineering Laboratory for Methanol to Olefins, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; orcid.org/0000-0003-4722-8371

Shanfan Lin – National Engineering Laboratory for Methanol to Olefins, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China;

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

Tantan Sun – National Engineering Laboratory for Methanol to Olefins, Dalian National Laboratory for Clean Energy, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China; University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscentsci.1c00005>

Author Contributions

[†]X.W. and W.C. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful for the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21902153, 21991090, 21991092, 21972142, 22022202, 22032005, 22002174, 91745109), the Liaoning Revitalization Talents Program (XLYC1807227, XLYC1808014), the Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDYSSW-JSC024, QYZDB-SSW-SLH026), and the International Partnership Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (121421KYSB20180007).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Tian, P.; Wei, Y. X.; Ye, M.; Liu, Z. M. Methanol to Olefins (MTO): From Fundamentals to Commercialization. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5* (3), 1922–1938.
- (2) Yarulina, I.; Chowdhury, A. D.; Meirer, F.; Weckhuysen, B. M.; Gascon, J. Recent trends and fundamental insights in the methanol-to-hydrocarbons process. *Nat. Catal.* **2018**, *1* (6), 398–411.
- (3) Dusselier, M.; Davis, M. E. Small-Pore Zeolites: Synthesis and Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (11), 5265–5329.
- (4) Yang, M.; Fan, D.; Wei, Y.; Tian, P.; Liu, Z. Recent Progress in Methanol-to-Olefins (MTO) Catalysts. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, *31* (50), No. e1902181.
- (5) Xu, S. T.; Zhi, Y. C.; Han, J. F.; Zhang, W. N.; Wu, X. Q.; Sun, T. T.; Wei, Y. X.; Liu, Z. M. Advances in Catalysis for Methanol-to-Olefins Conversion. *Adv. Catal.* **2017**, *61*, 37–122.
- (6) Wang, W.; Hunger, M. Reactivity of surface alkoxy species on acidic zeolite catalysts. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41* (8), 895–904.
- (7) Haw, J. F.; Song, W.; Marcus, D. M.; Nicholas, J. B. The mechanism of methanol to hydrocarbon catalysis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36* (5), 317–326.
- (8) Ilias, S.; Bhan, A. Mechanism of the Catalytic Conversion of Methanol to Hydrocarbons. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3* (1), 18–31.
- (9) Olsbye, U.; Svelle, S.; Bjorgen, M.; Beato, P.; Janssens, T. V.; Joensen, F.; Bordiga, S.; Lillerud, K. P. Conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons: how zeolite cavity and pore size controls product selectivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51* (24), 5810–5831.
- (10) Olsbye, U.; Svelle, S.; Lillerud, K. P.; Wei, Z. H.; Chen, Y. Y.; Li, J. F.; Wang, J. G.; Fan, W. B. The formation and degradation of active species during methanol conversion over protonated zeotype catalysts. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44* (20), 7155–7176.
- (11) Wang, S.; Chen, Y. Y.; Qin, Z. F.; Zhao, T. S.; Fan, S. B.; Dong, M.; Li, J. F.; Fan, W. B.; Wang, J. G. Origin and evolution of the initial hydrocarbon pool intermediates in the transition period for the conversion of methanol to olefins over H-ZSM-5 zeolite. *J. Catal.* **2019**, *369*, 382–395.

- (12) Plessow, P. N.; Smith, A.; Tischer, S.; Studt, F. Identification of the Reaction Sequence of the MTO Initiation Mechanism Using Ab Initio-Based Kinetics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141* (14), 5908–5915.
- (13) Minova, I. B.; Matam, S. K.; Greenaway, A.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Frogley, M. D.; Cinque, G.; Wright, P. A.; Howe, R. F. Elementary Steps in the Formation of Hydrocarbons from Surface Methoxy Groups in HZSM-5 Seen by Synchrotron Infrared Microspectroscopy. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9* (7), 6564–6570.
- (14) Wang, C.; Chu, Y.; Xu, J.; Wang, Q.; Qi, G.; Gao, P.; Zhou, X.; Deng, F. Extra-Framework Aluminum-Assisted Initial C-C Bond Formation in Methanol-to-Olefins Conversion on Zeolite H-ZSM-5. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (32), 10197–10201.
- (15) Lo, B. T. W.; Ye, L.; Chang, G. G. Z.; Purchase, K.; Day, S.; Tang, C. C.; Mei, D.; Tsang, S. C. E. Dynamic modification of pore opening of SAPO-34 by adsorbed surface methoxy species during induction of catalytic methanol-to-olefins reactions. *Appl. Catal., B* **2018**, *237*, 245–250.
- (16) Wu, X.; Xu, S.; Zhang, W.; Huang, J.; Li, J.; Yu, B.; Wei, Y.; Liu, Z. Direct Mechanism of the First Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation in the Methanol-to-Hydrocarbons Process. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56* (31), 9039–9043.
- (17) Plessow, P. N.; Studt, F. Unraveling the Mechanism of the Initiation Reaction of the Methanol to Olefins Process Using ab Initio and DFT Calculations. *ACS Catal.* **2017**, *7* (11), 7987–7994.
- (18) Liu, Y.; Muller, S.; Berger, D.; Jelic, J.; Reuter, K.; Tonigold, M.; Sanchez-Sanchez, M.; Lercher, J. A. Formation Mechanism of the First Carbon-Carbon Bond and the First Olefin in the Methanol Conversion into Hydrocarbons. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (19), 5723–5726.
- (19) Chowdhury, A. D.; Houben, K.; Whiting, G. T.; Mokhtar, M.; Asiri, A. M.; Al-Thabaiti, S. A.; Basahel, S. N.; Baldus, M.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Initial Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation during the Early Stages of the Methanol-to-Olefin Process Proven by Zeolite-Trapped Acetate and Methyl Acetate. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55* (51), 15840–15845.
- (20) Comas-Vives, A.; Valla, M.; Coperet, C.; Sautet, P. Cooperativity between Al Sites Promotes Hydrogen Transfer and Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation upon Dimethyl Ether Activation on Alumina. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2015**, *1* (6), 313–319.
- (21) Wu, X.; Xu, S.; Wei, Y.; Zhang, W.; Huang, J.; Xu, S.; He, Y.; Lin, S.; Sun, T.; Liu, Z. Evolution of C-C Bond Formation in the Methanol-to-Olefins Process: From Direct Coupling to Autocatalysis. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8* (8), 7356–7361.
- (22) Qian, Q. Y.; Vogt, C.; Mokhtar, M.; Asiri, A. M.; Al-Thabaiti, S. A.; Basahel, S. N.; Ruiz-Martinez, J.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Combined Operando UV/Vis/IR Spectroscopy Reveals the Role of Methoxy and Aromatic Species during the Methanol-to-Olefins Reaction over H-SAPO-34. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, *6* (12), 3396–3408.
- (23) Yamazaki, H.; Shima, H.; Imai, H.; Yokoi, T.; Tatsumi, T.; Kondo, J. N. Direct Production of Propene from Methoxy Species and Dimethyl Ether over H-ZSM-5. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116* (45), 24091–24097.
- (24) Jiang, Y.; Hunger, M.; Wang, W. On the reactivity of surface methoxy species in acidic zeolites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128* (35), 11679–11692.
- (25) Wang, W.; Buchholz, A.; Seiler, M.; Hunger, M. Evidence for an initiation of the methanol-to-olefin process by reactive surface methoxy groups on acidic zeolite catalysts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125* (49), 15260–15267.
- (26) Wang, C.; Xu, J.; Deng, F. Mechanism of Methanol-to-hydrocarbon Reaction over Zeolites: A solid-state NMR Perspective. *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12* (4), 965–980.
- (27) Wang, W.; Seiler, M.; Hunger, M. Role of surface methoxy species in the conversion of methanol to dimethyl ether on acidic zeolites investigated by in situ stopped-flow MAS NMR spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105* (50), 12553–12558.
- (28) Qi, L.; Wei, Y. X.; Xu, L.; Liu, Z. M. Reaction Behaviors and Kinetics during Induction Period of Methanol Conversion on HZSM-5 Zeolite. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5* (7), 3973–3982.
- (29) Phung, T. K.; Hernandez, L. P.; Lagazzo, A.; Busca, G. Dehydration of ethanol over zeolites, silica alumina and alumina: Lewis acidity, Bronsted acidity and confinement effects. *Appl. Catal., A* **2015**, *493*, 77–89.
- (30) Phung, T. K.; Busca, G. Diethyl ether cracking and ethanol dehydration: Acid catalysis and reaction paths. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2015**, *272*, 92–101.